Customer No.: 31561 Application No.: 10/708,876

Docket No.: 12707-US-PA

REMARKS

Present Status of the Application

The Office Action rejected claims 1-11. Specifically, the Office Action rejected claims

I-11 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maiocchi (U. S. Patent 5,397,972). Claims

1-11 remain pending in the present application, and reconsideration of those claims is

respectfully requested.

Discussion of Claim Rejections under 35 USC 102

The Office Action rejected claims 1-11 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Maiocchi. Applicants respectively traverse the rejections for at least the reasons set forth

below.

1. As previously stated, independent claims 1 and 6 (see FIG. 4) recite the features that the

zero-crossing point of BEMF is taken from the floating winding while the other two windings

are in the excited state. In this situation, the signal detection for the zero-crossing point of

BEMF is specifically corresponding to the floating winding, and is not randomly from any one of

the three windings, as disclosed in Majocchi.

Further, in step 102, if the zero-crossing occurs then the subsequent phase is commutated

(step 103). If the zero-crossing does not occur then the shifted subsequent phase is commutated

(step 107).

In re Maiocchi (see Appendix about Fig. 8 of Maiocchi with added steps \$100-\$112), the

2

FAX NO. P. 04/07

MAR-24-2006 FRI 13:59

Customer No.: 31561

Application No.: 10/708,876 Docket No.: 12707-US-PA

"disexciting motor (S102)" is performed after the initial exciting by a time of T0, and then the

exciting is interrupted (col. 7, lines 27-39); col. 8, lines 59-60; col. 9, lines 20-24). In addition,

after passing a mask time of T0/8 (\$103), the zero-crossing point from any one of the

three-phase windings is detected (col. 7, lines 21-23). This is different from the present

invention.

Further in step S104, a first reading S104 is performed. At step S105, the zero-crossing is

detected. If the zero-crossing point occurs (\$105), then the steps \$106 - \$109 about second

reading...are performed. If the zero-crossing point does not occur, then another phase (S112)

or the same phase (S111) are excited.

Apparently, these steps of Maiocchi do not disclose at least the features of the present

invention as recited in independent claims 1 and 6.

3. In other words, the present invention is further distinguishable over Maiocchi for at

least the following reasons:

(a) In Maiocchi, after disexiting motor, all the windings are detected and judged whether or

not the ZCP of BEMF occurs in anyone of the windings.

In the present invention, a specific winding (floating winding) is detected.

(b) In Maiocchi, when ZCP is detected in random phase by comparator reading, Maiocchi

further needs a procedure "decoding of exciting phase (S107)" because the ZCP is detected in

random phase.

3

· MAR-24-2006 FRI 13:59 FAX NO. P. 05/07

> Customer No.: 31561 Application No.: 10/708,876

Docket No.: 12707-US-PA

In the present invention, the ZCP occurs in fix phase (floating winding, which dependent

on the initial phase) and the present invention needs no decoding procedure to know which phase

for generating the ZCP signal and which phase to be commutated to.

4. The Office Action also refers to Abstract and col. 8, lines 7-25 as the starting of a

motor.... However, this disclosure of Maiocchi is about the steps \$100 - \$105 (see Appendix

for Fig. 8) and does not equally disclose the features of monitoring the zero-crossing point on the

specific floating winding in the present invention.

For the subsequent steps of the present invention, the Office Action also refers to Fig. 3, Fig.

5, Fig. 12 (in col. 10, lines 20-58; col. 11, lines 1-11, 66-68), Fig. 12 (in col. 12, lines 1-4); col.

17, lines 29-39 and col. 18, lines 11-38. However, these descriptions are some examples based

on the procedure in Fig. 8 of Maiocchi, and do not equally disclose the specific steps of the

present invention.

For at least the foregoing reasons, Maiocchi does not disclose the same starting procedure

as recited in independent claims 1 and 6. With at least the same reasons, dependent claims 2-5

and 7-11 more specifically define the starting procedure over Maiocchi.

Customer No.: 31561 Application No.: 10/708,876 Docket No.: 12707-US-PA

## CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is believed that all the pending claims 1-11 of the invention patently define over the prior art and are in proper condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Date:

Respectfully submitted,

Belinda Lee

Registration No.: 46,863

Jianq Chyun Intellectual Property Office 7<sup>th</sup> Floor-1, No. 100 Roosevelt Road, Section 2 Taipei, 100 Taiwan

March 24, 2006

Tel: 011-886-2-2369-2800 Fax: 011-886-2-2369-7233

Email: <u>belinda@jcipgroup.com.tw</u>
<u>Usa@jcipgroup.com.tw</u>



